RatingsDirect®

Research Update:

Housing Finance Corp. Ltd. 'A/A-1' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Stable

September 15, 2021

Overview

- Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, The Housing Finance Corp. Ltd. (THFC) has maintained strong access to capital markets, which has contributed to a stable market position and stronger capitalization.
- Although the U.K. social housing sector is experiencing increasing leverage, it benefits from low cyclicality and low market volatility, which have supported its resilience throughout the pandemic.
- We expect THFC to face increasing competition, but we consider that its robust record as a well-established issuer and lender will enable it to maintain a solid business position.
- We affirmed our 'A/A-1' long- and short-term issuer credit ratings on THFC.
- The outlook is stable.

Rating Action

On Sept. 15, 2021, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'A/A-1' long- and short-term issuer credit ratings on funding agency The Housing Finance Corp. Ltd. (THFC). The outlook is stable.

We also affirmed our 'A' issue rating on debt issued by THFC's three orphan funding subsidiaries: THFC (Funding No. 1) PLC, THFC (Funding No. 2) PLC, and THFC (Funding No. 3) PLC. This rating mirrors the 'A' long-term issuer credit rating on THFC.

The 'AA' issue rating on debt issued by THFC's subsidiary Affordable Housing Finance (AHF) reflects the exclusive guarantee by the U.K. government, which we consider as timely, unconditional, and irrevocable.

Outlook

The stable outlook indicates that we expect THFC to remain a leading aggregator despite a potential increase in competition from the 2020 Affordable Homes Guarantee Scheme, awarded to ARA Venn, and the deep U.K. bank and capital market, which housing associations can access

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Abril A Canizares

London + 44 20 7176 0161 abril.canizares

@spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

Eileen X Zhang, CFA London + 44 20 7176 7105 eileen.zhang @spglobal.com

ADDITIONAL CONTACT

EMEA Sovereign and IPF

SovereignIPF @spglobal.com



Research Update: Housing Finance Corp. Ltd. 'A/A-1' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Stable

directly. In our view, THFC's very conservative risk management practices will enable it to maintain a strong financial risk profile.

Downside scenario

We could lower our ratings if credit quality in the U.K. social housing sector deteriorated significantly, or if THFC suffered losses on its loan portfolio that would erode its reserves enough to halve its capital ratio from its current level. We could also lower the rating if THFC's risk management policies became less stringent.

Upside scenario

We could raise the ratings if THFC's market position strengthened significantly, with the group successfully navigating the increasingly competitive environment and maintaining strong financial indicators.

Rationale

THFC's long record as a well-established issuer and lender have enabled it to achieve strong access to the markets throughout the pandemic. As a result of robust new business generation, THFC has managed to maintain its market position, despite operating in an increasingly competitive environment. In addition, higher earnings have strengthened the capital position.

Conservative risk management policies, and regulatory stewardship based on its ownership structure, have put THFC in a better position to perform its role and fulfill its policy mandate than its domestic peers.

THFC is a finance company founded in 1987. Legally, it is a community benefit society. THFC onlends predominantly long-term debt to housing associations in the U.K. As of the fiscal year ending March 31, 2021 (FY2021), THFC had a loan book of £7.9 billion--increasing by 5.6% from £7.5 billion in FY2020--providing funding to 161 borrowers. Most of its funding comes from issuing debt in the sterling capital markets.

The 'A' issuer credit rating is based on THFC's strong financial profile and adequate enterprise profile. THFC is the parent company of several wholly owned subsidiaries. Since THFC is the parent company, we view it as a core and integral part of the larger THFC group and base our rating on it on the 'a' group credit profile (GCP).

Enterprise risk profile: Relevant role in an increasingly competitive market, with robust risk management practices that protect the group against the downside risks of the U.K. social housing sector

- We assess industry risk in the U.K. social housing sector as low. Although the sector is experiencing increasing leverage, it still benefits from low cyclicality and more predictable revenue.
- As a frequent and well-established issuer, THFC enjoyed strong access to the markets throughout the pandemic and arranged funding at a low cost which help sustain its market position.
- Management policies and governance standards are adequate, supporting robust financial

ratios by mitigating the potential weakening of asset quality.

Our U.K. public-sector industry and country risk assessment (PICRA), which remains low, takes into consideration that leverage has increased the risk to the U.K. social housing sector. Offsetting this, we note that the sector benefits from low cyclicality, high barriers to entry, and strong government oversight via regulators. As a result of these factors, the pandemic has so far only had a limited effect on the sector. Our PICRA also considers the wealthy, open, and diversified U.K. economy, and we note that the government's furlough scheme has supported strong rent collection.

Even under a competitive environment, THFC has built a strong track record in fulfilling its mandate as demonstrated in FY2021. It has issued £605 million through eight separate bond transactions and achieved a competitive average fixed rate pricing of 2.2%.

Historically, loan growth was supported by the strong business activity coming from the underwriting of loans under the Affordable Housing Finance Guarantee Scheme, which ended in 2018. However, following the completion of the scheme, we note that THFC has maintained a steady market share of about 8% of the sector's total debt stock and provided about 3% of the sector's funding needs during FY2021.

Compared to local peers, THFC has been the most frequent issuer in the market, mainly through its subsidiary, bLEND. Launched in 2018 with a £1 billion five-year target, bLEND has exceeded that by placing £1.2 billion of bonds in the market as of September 2021. Moreover, all of bLEND's existing bonds have been redesignated as social bonds, since it has increased its efforts on ESG alignment and has now obtained a second party social bond accreditation.

Although we note that THFC operates in a competitive environment, we think its name recognition will enable it to sustain a robust business pipeline. The main competition for THFC comes from housing associations being able to tap the markets at favorable terms through own-name placements to take advantage of increased appetite from investors during the pandemic. In addition, we expect increased competition to come from the implementation of the Affordable Homes Guarantee Scheme 2020 (AHGS 2020), which was awarded to ARA Venn.

In our view, management has above-average levels of expertise and experience in operating its major business lines. Management continues enhancing internal processes and introducing innovative products to adapt to the market needs. For example, we note that it took part in the development of the social housing sector's Sustainability Reporting Standard and subsequently became an early adopter of this framework.

THFC also enjoys a robust governance framework since, unlike other U.K.-based public-sector funding agencies, the group has board nominees from the regulator of the English social housing sector and from the National Housing Federation, the English housing sector's trade body. This enhances THFC's oversight and underpins its asset quality. The group maintains prudent risk management policies and processes and focuses strongly on monitoring its borrowers' credit standing. However, unlike its global peers, THFC is not regulated by bank supervisors or financial services regulators and does not have to comply with any banking regulatory requirement or standards, which limits our assessment.

THFC follows a strict matched-funding approach to minimize asset-liability risk. Given that lending is on broadly the same interest rates and repayment schedule as the funds that THFC borrows, the group has almost no structural interest-rate risk. Additionally, it does not take currency risk--its funding and lending are both denominated in pound sterling. It also receives payments from borrowers up to a month before payments to investors become due, providing a timing cushion.

Financial risk profile: Consistently strong financial indicators, combined with robust policies to mitigate potential risks on asset quality, ensure a sustainable financial profile

- THFC's capital ratios have steadily strengthened thanks to robust accumulated reserves. Our assessment of the group's very strong capital adequacy also considers its robust collateralization and the cash-flow pass-through nature of its operations.
- Conservative asset liability management, which follows a matched-funding principle, ensures the funding and liquidity ratios will remain structurally above 1x.

Strong business generation in FY2021 resulted in accumulated reserves growing to £46.5 million at year-end and outpacing the increase in risk-weighted assets. THFC's risk-adjusted capital (RAC) ratio therefore strengthened to 18.6% from 17.7% in FY2021. Furthermore, after adjusting for single name concentration on the loan book, the RAC ratio strengthened to 12.4% in FY2021 from 11.4% in FY2020.

We assess THFC's capital adequacy as very strong, supported by a strong RAC ratio, its business construct as a cash-flow pass-through vehicle, and robust collateralization on its loan book through physical collateral and debt service reserves. The enforcement of social housing assets is subject to a special administration regime introduced in July 2018, and this regime has not been tested. However, compared with its domestic peers, THFC has the highest level of collateralization, at about 150%-200%. The group also has no exposure to derivatives.

THFC has the highest diversification when compared to its local peers and we note that £3.2 billion of its portfolio benefits from the double guarantee scheme provided by the U.K. government to its subsidiary, AHF. Therefore, almost half of its exposure is to the central government instead of to the sector directly.

Surpluses of above £5 million in FY2021--up from £2.7 million in FY2020--contributed to the strengthening of THFC's accumulated reserves, since the group does not distribute dividends. We consider these reserves to be loss-absorbing and include them in our estimate of THFC's total adjusted capital.

We assess THFC's funding and liquidity position as strong, supported by a robust capacity to cover its liabilities, even under severe liquidity stress scenarios. Furthermore, conservative match-funding policies ensure that liquidity ratios will remain structurally above 1x. Further supporting this view, we note that there is a one-month gap between the group's obligation to pay investors and payments received from its borrowers.

We estimate that THFC is structurally able to cover its scheduled short-term debt liabilities without recourse to new issuances; its static funding gap was 1.5x at the one-year horizon at the start of FY2021. The reduction on the ratio is attributed to the large maturity of liabilities over the next 12 months, which will smooth out over the coming years.

THFC holds considerable levels of liquid investments--as of March 2021, our 12-month liquidity ratio was 1.2x, meaning that the group has an ample cushion to cover its liabilities under a severe liquidity stress scenario without accessing the capital markets.

Key Selected Indicators

Table 1

The Housing Finance Corp. Ltd. -- Selected Indicators

| | | Year | ended March | 31 | |
|---|----------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|
| (Mil.£) | 2021 | 2020 | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 |
| Business position | | | | | |
| Total adjusted assets | 8,004.26 | 7,572.60 | 7,456.70 | 7,107.90 | 5,977.70 |
| Gross receivables | 7,874.15 | 7,453.80 | 7,333.00 | 6,991.50 | 5,885.10 |
| Growth in loans (%) | 5.64 | 1.70 | 4.90 | 18.80 | 15.70 |
| Interest revenue | 262.03 | 261.50 | 258.60 | 237.20 | 232.90 |
| Noninterest expenses | 5.23 | 5.20 | 4.60 | 4.30 | 4.10 |
| Capital and risk position | | | | | |
| Total liabilities | 7,957.85 | 7,530.90 | 7,418.70 | 7,073.40 | 5,948.80 |
| Total adjusted capital | 46.41 | 41.60 | 38.00 | 34.50 | 28.80 |
| Assets/capital | 172.46 | 181.90 | 196.30 | 206.10 | 207.90 |
| RAC ratio before diversification (%) | 18.60 | 17.80 | 16.60 | 15.80 | N/A |
| RAC ratio after diversification (%) | 12.40 | 11.40 | 10.70 | 11.90 | N/A |
| Gross nonperforming assets/gross loans | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Common + preferred dividends/net income (%) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Funding & liquidity (%) | | | | | |
| Liquidity ratio with loan disbursement (one year) | 1.15 | 2.30 | 1.60 | 1.70 | N/A |
| Liquidity ratio without loan disbursement(one year) | 1.15 | 2.30 | 1.60 | 1.70 | N/A |
| Deposits to total financial liabilities (%) | | | | | |
| Funding ratio (one year) | 1.46 | 2.50 | 1.80 | 1.90 | N/A |

N/A--Not applicable. RAC--Risk-adjusted capital.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Table 2

The Housing Finance Corp. Ltd. -- Ratings Score Snapshot

| Issuer credit rating | А |
|---|--------------|
| Stand-alone credit profile | а |
| Enterprise risk profile | Adequate (3) |
| Public-sector industry risk and country risk assessment | Low risk (2) |
| Business position | Adequate |
| Management & governance | Adequate |
| Financial risk profile | Strong (2) |

Table 2

The Housing Finance Corp. Ltd. -- Ratings Score Snapshot (cont.)

| Capital adequacy | Very strong |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Funding and liquidity | Neutral and Strong |
| Support | - |
| Government-related entity support | - |
| Group support | - |

Related Criteria

- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, July 1, 2019
- Criteria | Governments | International Public Finance: Public-Sector Funding Agencies: Methodology And Assumptions, May 22, 2018
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | General: Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework Methodology, July 20, 2017
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011

Related Research

- Global Regulatory Framework Report Card For Public And Nonprofit Social Housing Providers Published, June 8, 2021
- United Kingdom 'AA/A-1+' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Stable, April 23, 2021
- Building Up Debt: U.K. Social Housing Sector Braces Itself For Borrowing, March 16, 2021
- Global Social Housing Ratings Score Snapshot: December 2020, Dec. 10, 2020
- Global Social Housing Ratings Risk Indicators: December 2020, Dec. 10, 2020
- Outlook 2021: Strong Liquidity Should Help Social Housing Providers Remain Resilient, Dec. 8, 2020
- U.K. Social Housing Providers Should Remain Largely Resilient To Short-Term Economic Difficulties From COVID-19, April 23, 2020

Public-Sector Funding Agencies Risk Indicators: May 2019, May 13, 2019

- Credit FAQ: A Closer Look At The New Public-Sector Funding Agencies Criteria, May 22, 2018
- Public-Sector Funding Agencies Risk Indicators: May 2019, May 14, 2019
- Assumptions For Liquidity Gap Analysis Under "Public-Sector Funding Agencies: Methodology And Assumptions", May 22, 2018

Ratings List

| Ratings Affirmed | |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| Housing Finance Corp. I | .td. (The) |
| Issuer Credit Rating | A/Stable/A-1 |
| T.H.F.C. (Funding No. 1) | PLC |
| Senior Secured | А |
| T.H.F.C. (Funding No. 2) |) PLC |
| Senior Secured | А |
| T.H.F.C. (Funding No. 3) | PLC |
| Senior Secured | А |

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categories is contained in "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" at https://www.standardandpoors.com/en_US/web/guest/article/-/view/sourceld/504352 Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.